



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,038	09/22/2003	Bernard F. Garceau	116523.00138	7287
21324 75	590 11/17/2005		EXAMI	INER
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			PAPE, JOSEPH	
One GOJO Plaza Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44311-1076			3612	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/668,038	GARCEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph D. Pape	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	eptember 2005.					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
· <u>-</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-56 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-17,23-29 and 37-49</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>2-12 and 18-22</u> is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>30-36 and 50-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2005 and</u>		accepted or b) objected to by				
the Examiner.		accopiod of of collected to by				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
<u> </u>	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/04.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/668,038

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 13-17 and 43-47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/6/05.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 1/24/05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The description of methods including the steps of pulling certain drive members and simultaneously slackening other drive members.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

3. A substitute specification is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because of numerous changes which renders it difficult to consider the application.

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the

Application/Control Number: 10/668,038 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

immediate prior version of the specification of record. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phraseology such as "means" which should be avoided. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 In paragraph 50, line 6, it is thought that "70" is incorrect.
 In paragraph 56, line 4, it is thought that "104!" should be changed to –104--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,038

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 4

6. Claims 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed.

had possession of the claimed invention. There appears to be no support in the

application as originally filed for the claimed method including the steps of pulling

certain drive members and simultaneously slackening other drive members. These

claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

8. Claims 53-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Anderson.

Anderson discloses the claimed invention including room B, flexible drive

members with anchors 16, 18 and 21 etc. and driver 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,038 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of Blodgett, Jr.

Anderson discloses the claimed invention including room B, flexible drive members with anchors 16, 18 and 21 etc. and driver 30.

Anderson does not include a lock consisting of a motor drive.

Blodgett, Jr. discloses a cable driven room slide out arrangement with a motor driven cable drive 18. When the motor is off it inherently "locks" the room from moving by preventing the cables form moving.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a motor drive for the manual drive of Anderson in order to automate and simplify a manual task.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 1-12 and 18-22 are allowed.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is (571)272-6664. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 6:30 AM-3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571)-272-6659.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,038

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3612

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

loseph D. Pape Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

Jdp

11/12/05